LAW OFFICE

KENNEDY & O'BRIEN

SCRANTON LIFE BUILDING - SUITE 610
538 SPRUCE STREET

SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18503-1816

(570) 342-0151 FAX (570) 342-9492

JAMES E O'BRIEN, JR

May 13, 2008

J. DESMOND KENNEDY (1897-1974) ROBERT E. O'BRIEN (1912-1975) JAMES E. O'BRIEN (1912-1994)

Regional Hearing Clerk (3RC00) U.S. EPA, Region III 1650 Arch St. Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re: 3WC32

Clean Air Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing EPA Docket No. CAA-03-2008-0!48

Enclosed is Answer to Complaint together with Affirmative Defense by way of New Matter for filing in the above action on behalf of defendant/respondent, Diocese of Scranton.

A copy of the Answer was previously served on Benjamin Cohan (3RCI0) and PDG, Inc., on May I, 2008, per copy of letter enclosed.

Very truly yours,

James E. O'Brien, Jr.

amk enc.

cc w/o enc.: Benjamin Cohan PDG, Inc.

LAW OFFICE

KENNEDY & O'BRIEN

SCRANTON LIFE BUILDING - SUITE 610 538 SPRUCE STREET

SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18503-1816

(570) 342-0151 FAX (570) 342-9492

JAMES E. O'BRIEN, JR.

May 1, 2008

J. DESMOND KENNEDY (1897-1974) ROBERT E. O'BRIEN (1912-1975) JAMES E. O'BRIEN (1912-1994)

Mr. Benjamin Cohan (3RC10) Senior Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Dear Mr. Cohan:

Re: 3WC32

Clean Air Act Complaint and Notice of

Opportunity for Hearing EPA Docket No. CAA-03-2008-0148

Enclosed is Answer to Complaint together with Affirmative Defense by way of New Matter for filing in the above action on behalf of defendant/respondent, Diocese of Scranton.

Very truly yours,

amk enc.

PDG, Inc. cc w/enc.:

1386 Beuhah Road

Building 801

Pittsburgh, PA 15235

THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION !!!

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

IN RE:

Diocese of Scranton, PA 300 Wyoming Avenue Scranton, PA 18503

and

PDG, Inc. 1386 Beuhah Road Building 801 Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Respondents

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

Docket No. CAA-03-2008-0148

I. INTRODUCTION

The allegations in paragraph 1 contain conclusions of law to which
no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded
of the Complainant.

II. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

2. - 5. The allegations in paragraphs 2 - 5 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.

III. DEFINITIONS

6. - 15. The allegations in paragraphs 6 - 15 do not contain facts that should be admitted or denied; rather, they are legal allegations which are not admitted or denied. Strict proof of these allegations are demanded of the Complainant.

IV. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Admitted.
- 17. Admitted.
- 18. The allegations in paragraph 18 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 19. Admitted.
- 20. The allegations in paragraph 20 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 21. Admitted.
- 22. Admitted.
- 23. 26. The allegations in paragraphs 23 through 26 are directed to defendant, PDG. PDG was hired by the Diocese and was under contract with the Diocese to perform abatement services as described in their contract. The truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraghs 23 26 are not known by defendant/respondent Diocese. Diocese defers to the Complaint and the answers provided by PDG to these allegations. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded. The allegations are not admitted by respondent Diocese of Scranton.
- 27. The allegations in paragraph 27 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 28. The allegations in paragraph 28 are directed to defendant, PDG. PDG was hired by the Diocese and was under contract with the Diocese to perform abatement services as described in their contract. The truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraph 28 are not known by defendant/respondent Diocese. Diocese defers to the Complaint and the answers provided by PDG to these allegations. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded. The allegations are not admitted by respondent Diocese of Scranton.

- 29. The allegations in paragraph 29 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 30. The allegations in paragraph 30 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 31. The allegations in paragraph 31 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.

V. VIOLATIONS

COUNT I

FAILURE TO ADEQUATELY WE'T RACM DURING THE STRIPPING OPERATION

- 32. Respondent, Diocese of Scranton, realleges the answers in paragraphs I through 31, above, as though fully set forth.
- 33. The allegations in paragraph 33 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- wetted pursuant to 40 C.F.R.§61.145(c)(3). It is further denied that RACM was being stripped while dry. Rather, PDG was hired by the Diocese and was under contract with the Diocese to perform services as described in their contract. The truth or faisity of the allegations in paragragh 34 are not known by defendant/respondent Diocese. Diocese defers to the Complaint and the answers provided by PDG to these allegations. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded. The allegations are not admitted by respondent Diocese of Scranton.

35. Denied Respondents failed to comply with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. \$61.145(c)(3) as alleged or that there was any violation of \$112 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. \$7412.

COUNTS II & III

FAILURE TO KEEP STRIPPED RACM ADEQUATELY WET UNTIL COLLECTED FOR DISPOSAL

- 36. Respondent, Diocese of Scranton, realleges the answers in paragraphs 1 through 35, above, as though fully set forth.
- 41. Complaint skips from paragraph 36 to 41.
- 41. The allegations in paragraph 41 contain conclusions of law to which no specific answer is required. Strict proof of the allegations are demanded of the Complainant.
- 42. Admitted in part; denied in part. Denied any violation of 40 C.F.R. §61.145
 (c)(6)(i) occurred. As to the facts relating to the July 24 and August 28, 2007
 inspections, PDG was hired by the Diocese and was under contract with the Diocese
 to perform abatement services as described in their contract. The truth or falsity
 of the allegations in paragraph 42 are not known by defendant/respondent Diocese.
 Diocese defers to the Complaint and the answers provided by PDG to these allegations.
 Strict proof of the allegations are demanded. The allegations are not admitted
 by respondent, Diocese of Scranton.
- 43. Denied any violation of 40 C. F.R. §61.45(c)(6)(i) occurred and further denied that there were two (2) separate "per day" violations of Section 112 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §7412.

VI. PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

It is denied any violations occurred and it is denied that any civil penalties are due. In further answer, Respondent, Diocese of Scranton, alleges that if any fines or penalties are due they are improperly determined in this administrative Complaint and should be substantially less than proposed. Respondent, Diocese of Scranton's history of compliance and actions taken to comply with all requirements of law should be considered as well as the seriousness of these alleged violations all of which would tend to mitigate the damages to be assessed against the parties. The Diocese of Scranton is a 501(c)(3) non-profit entity which performs many charitable works and any violation of any law would have been inadvertent and unintentional.

VII. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

Respondent, Diocese of Scranton, does hereby request a hearing to contest matters set forth in this administrative hearing.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES NEW MATTER OF DEFENDANT, DIOCESE OF SCRANTON

I. In addition to the Abatement Contract with PDG, wherein PDG was responsible for the removal and disposal of all asbestos-containing materials, the Diocese also contracted with Quad 3 to do air monitoring and project oversight for the abatement. The Diocese relied on the expertise of Quad 3 as well as the expertise of PDG to complete the project. Failures to carry out proper abatement, if any, were those of PDG and/or Quad 3 and not the Diocese of Scranton.

Respectfully submitted,

James E. O'Brien / Jr., Esq. 610 Scranton Life Building

538 Spruce Street Scranton, PA 18503

Attorney for Defendant, Diocese of Scranton

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the facts set forth in the foregoing Answer to Complaint are true and correct according to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. \$4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Frank Semansid Facilities Manager Diocese of Scranton 300 Wyoming Ave. Scranton, PA 18503

7 'J CICA YOR

latina w

THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

IN RE:

Diocese of Scranton, PA 300 Wyoming Avenue Scranton, PA 18503

and

PDG, Inc. 1386 Beuhah Road Building 801 Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Respondents

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

Docket No. CAA-03-2008-0148

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing Answer to Complaint, with New Matter, by sending same by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, as follows:

> Mr. Benjamin Cohan (3RC10) Senior Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

PDG, Inc. 1386 Beuhah Road Building 801 Pittsburgh, PA 15235

> James E. O'Brien, Jr., Esq. 610 Scranton Life Building 538 Spruce Street

Scranton, PA 18503

Attorney for Defendant/Respondent,

Diocese of Scranton